



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 723,098	11 27 2000	Modasser El-Shoubary	13093	5348

7590 06 21 2002

Scott D. Locke, Esq.  
Kalow & Springut, LLP  
19th Floor  
488 Madison Avenue  
New York, NY 10022

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

YOON, TAE H

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

1714

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2002

(C)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
08/923.98	EI-Shoubary et al.
Examiner	Group Art Unit
T. Yoon	171K

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6-3-02
- This action is **FINAL**.
- Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.
- Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected.
- Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement

### Application Papers

- The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is  approved  disapproved.
- The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner
- The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

- Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).

All  Some\*  None of the:

- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
- Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received  
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary, PTO-413                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948           | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____                                    |

## Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim improperly broadens the scope of claim 35 wherein a micronization of the combined pigmentary base is recited. However, the instant claim recites "combining occurs during micronization".

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 1-7, 14-29 and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over DE 1234234.

Rejection is maintained for reason of record and following.

The recited preamble “micronized” has little probative value absent any particle size thereof. Also, example 1 of DE (page 1, line 4 of the translated paper) show milling of the treated powder which meets the instant micronization method. Also note that the third paragraph of said page 1 teaches a suppression of forming aggregates.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Stramel (US 5,397,391).

Rejection is maintained for reason of record and following.

The recited preamble “micronized” has little probative value absent any particle size thereof. The tri(butoxyethyl)phosphate of the example 1 meets the instant reaction product absent a particular reaction product in the claim.

Claims 1-9, 14, 15, 20-29 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Menovcik et al (US 5,876,493).

Rejection is maintained for reason of record and following.

Art Unit: 1714

The recited preamble "micronized" has little probative value absent any particle size thereof.

Claims 1-29 and 32-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over DE 1234234 in view of Stramel (US 5,397,391), Menovcik et al (US 5,876,493) or Herget et al (US 6,270,563).

Rejection is maintained for reason of record and following.

The recited preamble "micronized" has little probative value absent any particle size thereof. The major reson of using secondary references is to further reject claims 8-13. However, DE by itself is a valid reference for claims 1-7, 14-29 and 34-37 as the reason given above, and thus said claims are obviously included.

Contrary to applicant's assertion that pre-treated pigmentary bases that are subsequently treated with the prgano-acid phosphate of the present invention and micronized are not known in the art, Menovcik et al clearly teach pigments treated with the prgano-acid phosphate and pre-treated pigments. Alos, contrary to applicant's assertion, Herget et al (col. 3, lines 5-14) clearly provide a motivation which is to obtain high refractive index of pigments.

Example 6 of DE shows a polymeric composition containing 80 wt% of a pigment, and thus the use of a pigment of example 3 in a polymeric composition with the recited amount would be a *prima facie* obviousness.

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 1-3, 14-31 and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Johnson et al (US 5,466,482).

Rejection is maintained for reason of record and following.

The recited preamble “micronized” has little probative value absent any particle size thereof.

The organic pigments of Johnson et al meet the instant pigmentary base.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

THY/June 18, 2002

  
TAE H. YOON  
PRIMARY EXAMINER